

Practitioner's Docket No. 46342-56401

PATENT #6

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Watanabe et al.

Application No.: 09/913,770

Group No.: Not Yet Assigned

Filed: 17 August 2001

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

For: NOVEL G PROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTOR PROTEIN AND DNA THEREOF

**Box PCT/RO/US****Assistant Commissioner for Patents****Washington, D.C. 20231**

\*\*\*\*\*

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on October 29, 2002.

By:

*Regina M. Edwards*

Regina M. Edwards

\*\*\*\*\*

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-referenced patent application are the following documents:

1. Submission of Sequence Listing Transmittal (5 pages);
2. Preliminary Amendment (3 pages);
3. Copy of Notification of Defective Response, dated October 3, 2002 (5 pages);
4. 3.5" Floppy Disk containing Sequence Listing;
5. Paper Copy of Sequence Listing (3 pages) (paginated);
6. Paper Copy of Sequence Listing (3 pages) (unpaginated);
7. This transmittal letter (2 pages); and
8. Return postcard.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any excess fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-1105. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D.

Reg. No.: 34,901

DBRC, Intellectual Property Practice  
Group of EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP  
P.O. Box 9169

Boston, Massachusetts 02209

Date: October 29, 2002

BOS2\_316998.1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Watanabe et al.

Application No.: 09/913,770

Group No.: Not Yet Assigned

Filed: 17 August 2001

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

For: NOVEL G PROTEIN-COUPLED RECEPTOR PROTEIN AND DNA THEREOF

Box PCT/RO/US

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

\*\*\*\*\*

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on October 29, 2002.

By: Regina M Edwards  
Regina M. Edwards

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUBMISSION OF "SEQUENCE LISTING," COMPUTER READABLE COPY,  
AND/OR AMENDMENT PERTAINING THERETO  
FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY INVENTION CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE  
AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE**

*(check and complete this item, if applicable)*

1. ☒ This replies to the Office Letter DATED October 3, 2002.

NOTE: If these papers are filed before the office letter issues, adequate identification of the original papers should be made, e.g., in addition to the name of the inventor and title of invention, the filing date based on the "Express Mail" procedure, the application number from the return post card or the attorney's docket number added.

☒ A copy of the Office Letter is enclosed.

**IDENTIFICATION OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT**

2. I, Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D.  
Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D.

state the following:

**ITEMS BEING SUBMITTED**

3. Submitted herewith is/are:

*(check each item as applicable)*

- A. ☒ "Sequence Listing(s)" for the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence(s) in this application. Each "Sequence Listing" is assigned a separate identifier as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(c) and 37 C.F.R. Sections 1.822 and 1.823.
- B. ☒ An amendment to the description and/or claims, wherein reference is made to the sequence by use of the assigned identifier, as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(d).
- C. ☒ A copy of each "Sequence Listing" submitted for this application in computer readable form, in accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. Sections 1.821(e) and 1.824.
- D. ☐ Please transfer to this application, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(e), the computer readable copy(ies) from applicant's other application identified as follows:

In re application of:  
Application No.: 0 /  
Filed:  
For:

Group No.:  
Examiner:

The Computer readable form(s) of applicant's other application corresponds to the "Sequence Identifier(s)" of the application as follows:

Computer Readable Form  
(other application)

"Sequence Identifier"  
(this application)

**NOTE:** "If the computer readable form of a new application is to be identical with the computer readable form of another application of the applicant on file in the Office, reference may be made to the other application and computer readable form in lieu of filing a duplicate computer readable form in the new application. The new application shall be accompanied by a letter making such reference to the other application and computer readable form, both of which shall be completely identified." 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(e).

E. ☒ A statement that the content of each "Sequence Listing" submitted and each computer readable copy are the same, as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(g).

☐ Because the statement is not made by a person registered to practice before the Office, the Statement is verified as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(b).

F. ☒ Because this submission is made in fulfilling the requirement under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(g), a statement that the submission includes no new matter.

☐ Because the statement is not made by a person registered to practice before the Office, the statement is verified, as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(g).

**STATEMENT THAT "SEQUENCE LISTING"  
AND COMPUTER READABLE COPY ARE THE SAME  
AND/OR THAT PAPERS SUBMITTED INCLUDES NO NEW MATTER**

4. I hereby state:

*(complete applicable item A and/or B)*

A. ☒ Each computer readable form submitted in this application, including those forms requested to be transferred from applicant's other application, is the same as the "Sequence Listing" to which it is indicated to relate.

B. ☒ All papers accompanying this submission, or for which a request for transfer from applicants' other application, introduce no new matter.

**STATUS**

5. Applicant is

☐ a small entity. A statement:

☐ is attached.

☐ was already filed.

☒ other than a small entity.

## EXTENSION OF TERM

6.

**NOTE:** *"Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.*

*If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of Dec.10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).*

**NOTE:** See 37 C.F.R. Section 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. Section 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

7. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 apply.

*(complete (a) or (b) as applicable)*

(a) ☒ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

<u>Extension (months)</u>	<u>Fee for other than small entity</u>	<u>Fee for small entity</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/> two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00
<input type="checkbox"/> three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$1440.00	\$ 720.00
<input type="checkbox"/> five months	\$1960.00	\$ 980.00

**Fee \$** \_\_\_\_\_

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

*(check and complete the next item, if applicable)*

☐ An extension for \_\_\_\_\_ months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**OR**

(b) ☒ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

**FEE PAYMENT**

8. ☐ Attached is a check in the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ Charge Account No. \_\_\_\_\_ the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_.

A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

**FEE DEFICIENCY**

9.

*NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G. 31-33.*

10. ☒ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 04-1105.

**October 29, 2002**  
**DATE**

  
**SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER**

Customer No.: 21,874

Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D. Reg. No.: 34,901  
Intellectual Property Practice Group  
Edwards & Angell, LLP  
P.O. Box 9169  
Boston, MA 02209

BOS2\_317288.1